This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/806,544	07/02/2001	Ponani Gopalakrishnan	YOR9-1998-0392US2	1137	
7:	590 06/29/2004		EXAMINER		
Frank Chau		BULLOCK JR, LEV	BULLOCK JR, LEWIS ALEXANDER		
F Chau & Associates 1900 Hempstead Turnpike Suite 501			ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
East Meadow,	-		2126		

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	Λ			
Office Action Summary		09/806,5		GOPALAKRISHNAN ET AL.	\\\\\			
		Examine		Art Unit	<u> </u>			
	•		Bullock, Jr.	2126				
	The MAILING DATE of this communi							
Period fo		••		•				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION in sions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months at ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evunication. o) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and wwill, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tim utory minimum of thirty (30) days ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t dication to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>02 Julv 2001</i> .						
·	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3)□	/							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5) <u>□</u> 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>23-100</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>23-100</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from co						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 7/2/01 is/are:	a) accepted or b)	objected to by the E	xaminer.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	•	-·····································	` '				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of Certified copies of the priority of Some * c) Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation see the attached detailed Office action	locuments have bee locuments have bee of the priority documental al Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicatio ents have been received e 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	-0.040)	4) Interview Summary (
3) 🛛 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F · No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/30/02; 3/30/01</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	e tent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered. On page 46, lines 5-8, pg. 52, lines 13-15 and lines 24-28, Applicant details various other references. The examiner request a copy of each reference for consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claim 30 recites the limitation "the input command" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 40 is objected to because of the following informalities: It cannot be determined what DTMF means in the claim language. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2126

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 23-100 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over LADD (U.S. Patent 6,269,336).

As to claim 23, LADD teaches a conversational browser (voice browser), comprising: means for interpreting a user command (voice input) and for generating a request (content request) to access a CML file (markup language document), wherein CML comprises meta-information implementing a conversational dialog for interaction with the user; and a CML processor (parsing unit) for parsing and interpreting a CML file to render the conversational dialog (col. 11, lines 25-49; col. 11, line 66 – col. 12, line 24). It would be obvious to one skilled in the art that browser means for interpreting is a voice interface for receiving the voice commands.

As to claims 24 and 25, LADD teaches a conversational browser (voice browser) of a computing device that provides a conversational user interface to render a conversational dialog (col. 11, lines 25-49). LADD also teaches that variations and modifications may be practiced on the system (col. 2, lines 10-14). However, LADD does not teach that the browser executes on top of an operating platform. Official Notice is taken in that it is well known in the art that a browser executes on a virtual

Art Unit: 2126

machine to send and handle remote request and therefore would be obvious in view of LADD in order to send and handle voice requests.

As to claims 26-29, LADD teaches a dialog manager (VRU server / interpreter unit) for managing and controlling the conversational dialog wherein the dialog manager allocates conversational engines (test to speech unit / automatic speech recognition unit) for rendering the conversational dialog by meta-information of a CML file (col. 9, lines 1-53; col. 13, lines 41-60).

As to claims 30-34, LADD teaches the input command (voice input) comprises multi-modal input (col. 11, lines 31-35), the CML is implemented in a declarative format encapsulating multi-modal dialog (col. 16, lines 5-56). Official Notice is taken in that it is well known in the art that XML is a markup language and therefore would be obvious that the markup language of LADD is XML.

As to claims 35-38, LADD teaches the input commands to the browser are voice commands (col. 11, lines 26-36). Therefore, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art that the since the commands are voice commands that navigates to a web page that the browser implements a "what you hear is what you can say", a "say what you heard", a "say what you will hear", and a "mixed initiative dialog formats.

Art Unit: 2126

As to claim 80, LADD teaches a method for accessing information, comprising the steps of: processing an input command (voice input) with at least one of a plurality of conversational engines (network fetcher); generating a request (content request) based on the processed input command (voice input) to access a CML file (markup language document) from a content server (mark up language server), the CML file comprising meta-information to implement a conversational dialog; transmitting the request (content request) and accessing the requested CML file from a content server using a standard networking protocol; and processing the meta-information comprising the CML file to render the conversational dialog (via parsing the information and executing the file using the browser) (col. 11, lines 25-49; col. 11, lines 66 - col. 12, line 25; col. 14, lines 3-17; col. 2, lines 20-39; col. 2, line 59 - col. 3, line 5).

As to claims 81 and 82, LADD teaches a conversational browser (voice browser) of a computing device executes the steps (col. 11, lines 25-49). LADD also teaches that variations and modifications may be practiced on the system (col. 2, lines 10-14). However, LADD does not teach that the browser executes on top of an operating platform. Official Notice is taken in that it is well known in the art that a browser executes on a virtual machine to send and handle remote request and therefore would be obvious in view of LADD in order to send and handle voice requests.

As to claims 84 and 85, LADD teaches customizing the CML file (markup language document) based on the conversational capabilities of the browser (the

Art Unit: 2126

structure of the language can be designed specifically for voice applications); and registering the capabilities with the content server (via storing the files on markup language servers) (col. 15, line 60 – col. 16, line 21).

As to claim 83, LADD teaches the steps are distributed using a conversational engine (test to speech unit / automatic speech recognition unit) and conversational arguments (request data / document attributes) (col. 11, lines 25-49; col. 9, lines 1-53; col. 13, lines 41-60).

As to claim 86-88, LADD teaches transcoding legacy content of the content server (information from the information sources) into CML based on predefined transcoding rules (via the parser unit) (col. 12, lines 15-24; col. 5, lines 8-11).

As to claim 89, LADD teaches processing the meta-information comprises playing back an audio file or generating synthesized speech output (col. 4, lines 50-61).

As to claim 90-93, LADD teaches the CML is implemented in a declarative format encapsulating multi-modal dialog (col. 16, lines 5-56). Official Notice is taken in that it is well known in the art that XML is a markup language and therefore would be obvious that the markup language of LADD is XML.

Art Unit: 2126

As to claims 94-100, LADD teaches the CML (via markup language document) comprises one of (1) a top level element that groups other CML elements; (2) an element that specifies output to be spoken to the user (3) a menu element for encapsulating a menu that presents the user with a list of choices wherein each choice is associated with a target address identifying a CML element to visit if the corresponding choice is selected; (4) a form element for encapsulating a form that allows the user to input at least one item of information and transmit the at least one item of information to a target address; and (5) a combination thereof (col. 16, lines 29 – col. 17, line 49).

As to claim 39, LADD teaches a system for accessing information (information), comprising: a content server (mark up language server) comprising content pages (mark up language documents), wherein the content pages are implemented using a CML (mark up language) to describe a conversational dialog for interaction with a user (col. 15, line 60 – col. 16, line 57); and a conversational browser (voice browser) for processing a CML page received from the content server to render its conversational dialog (col. 11, lines 25-49; col. 11, line 66 – col. 12, line 24). However, LADD does not teach that the browser executes on top of an operating platform. Official Notice is taken in that it is well known in the art that a browser executes on a virtual machine to send and handle remote request and therefore would be obvious in view of LADD in order to send and handle voice requests.

Art Unit: 2126

As to claims 40-44, LADD teaches the system comprises an IVR system implemented in CML (system capable of handling a voice markup language document) (col. 11, lines 25-49; col. 14, lines 3-17) and accessibly over a packet-switched network using a standard network protocol (col. 2, lines 26-39).

As to claims 45-51, LADD teaches the CML is implemented in a declarative format encapsulating multi-modal and speech dialog (col. 16, lines 5-56; col. 16, line 58 – col. 17, line 49). Official Notice is taken in that it is well known in the art that XML is a markup language and therefore would be obvious that the markup language of LADD is XML.

As to claims 52-54, LADD teaches a conversational browser (voice browser) on a computing device communicating over a communications network (col. 11, lines 25-49). LADD also teaches that variations and modifications may be practiced on the system (col. 2, lines 10-14). However, LADD does not teach that the browser executes on top of an virtual machine. Official Notice is taken in that it is well known in the art that a browser executes on a virtual machine to send and handle remote request and therefore would be obvious in view of LADD in order to send and handle voice requests.

Art Unit: 2126

As to claims 55 and 56, LADD teaches standard network protocols are utilized for accessing CML content pages from the content server (col. 5, lines 37-62; col. 2, lines 26-39).

As to claims 57-62, LADD teaches transcoding legacy content of the content server (information from the information sources) into CML based on predefined transcoding rules (via the parser unit) (col. 12, lines 15-24; col. 5, lines 8-11).

As to claims 63-71, LADD teaches CML (via markup language document) comprises a plurality of capability-based frames, an active link, a link to conversational data files, a link to at least one distributed conversational engine, a link to an audio file for playback, a confirmation message tag, TTS markup, scripting language and imperative code, and a link to one of a plug-in or an applet for executing a conversational task (col. 16, line 29 – col. 17, line 49).

As to claims 72-79, LADD teaches the CML (via markup language document) comprises one of (1) a top level element that groups other CML elements; (2) an element that specifies output to be spoken to the user (3) a menu element for encapsulating a menu that presents the user with a list of choices wherein each choice is associated with a target address identifying a CML element to visit if the corresponding choice is selected; (4) a form element for encapsulating a form that allows the user to input at least one item of information and transmit the at least one

Art Unit: 2126

item of information to a target address; and (5) a combination thereof (col. 16, lines 29 – col. 17, line 49).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lewis A. Bullock, Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 305-0439. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng An can be reached on (703) 305-9678. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Len a Aulety

June 25, 2004